Idaho and Southwestern Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment-- Plan Maintenance Errata.

In Chapter 2- the **Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment**- will be adjusted as follows: Under MD SSS-1, Figure 2-13 should be 2-14

Under MD SSS-1, Figure 2-13 should be 2-14 In the Salable Minerale Section under MD MP 11 (page 2.2)

In the Salable Minerals Section, under MD MR 11 (page 2-29), replace the first two lines with: "Idaho: PHMA are closed to new mineral material sales. However, these areas remain "open" to free use permits and the expansion of existing active pits only if the following criteria are met:"

This change will make Idaho's direction consistent with the direction in the other sage-grouse states.

The Anthropogenic Disturbance and Adaptive Management Appendix E will be adjusted as follows:

On page **E-5**, the denominator of each of the disturbance calculations should also include IHMA On page E-8/sec E.2.3, the third bullet should include IHMA.

E.4.6 Change the title from "Adaptive Management Triggers" to "Adaptive Management Triggers - Montana".

E.4.7 Change title from "Potential Implementation Level Actions to Consider in the Event Soft Trigger Criteria are Met" to "Potential Implementation Level Actions to Consider in the Event Soft Trigger Criteria are Met - Idaho".

E.4.8 Change title from "Adaptive Grazing Management Response" to "Adaptive Grazing Management Response - Idaho".

The **Mitigation Appendix J** is referred to incorrectly as Appendix X on page B-2 in Appendix B, and on page G-6 of Appendix G in the ARMPA document. These references will be corrected to refer to Appendix J. Finally, in the Table of Contents, section 2.2.11 should read: **2.2.11 Mitigation (Idaho and Montana).**

Replace page 2-34 in section 2.11 of the Plan with the final page of this attachment. The clarification is that section 2.2.11 applies to both Idaho and Montana; the published ARMPA omitted Idaho. The final page of this document is to replace the page in its entirety reflecting this adjustment.

a neutral or positive effect on GRSG habitat. Individual route designations will occur during subsequent travel management planning efforts.

MD TTM 5: Conduct road construction, upgrades, and maintenance activities to avoid disturbance during the lekking season- see **Appendix C.**

2.2.11 Mitigation (Idaho and Montana)

(Also see **Appendix F** and **J**)

Management Decisions (MD)

MD MIT I: BLM will establish an inter-agency State GRSG Conservation Team at the state level (both Idaho and Montana) to help guide conservation of GRSG through compensatory mitigation, within 90 days of the issuance of the Record of Decision.

MD MIT 2: The BLM, in coordination with the GRSG Conservation Team will develop a Mitigation Strategy within one year of the issuance of the Record of Decision. In Idaho this strategy will be consistent with the Idaho Mitigation Framework (**Appendix F**).

MD MIT 3: In all GRSG habitat, in undertaking BLM management actions, and, consistent with valid existing rights and applicable law, in authorizing third-party actions that result in habitat loss and degradation (**Appendix E, Table E-I**), the BLM will require and ensure mitigation that provides a net conservation gain to the species including accounting for any uncertainty associated with the effectiveness of such mitigation.

MD MIT 4: Mitigate anthropogenic development (**Appendix E, Table E-I**) impacts on GRSG habitat through application of appropriate mitigation in accordance with the Mitigation Framework (**Appendix F and L**).

MD MIT 5: Consistent with regulations for mineral activities, require a full reclamation bond specific to the site when surface disturbing activities are proposed. Ensure reclamation bonds are sufficient to cover costs to fully rehabilitate lost GRSG habitat. Base the reclamation costs on the assumption that contractors for the BLM will perform the work. Areas are considered fully rehabilitated when they meet the conditions escribed in Table 2-2.

2.2.12 Coordination (CC)

Management Decisions (MD)

MD CC I: Collaborate, coordinate and utilize cooperative planning efforts to implement and monitor activities to achieve desired conditions and to maximize the utilization of available funding opportunities. Coordination efforts can include: adjacent landowners, federal and state agencies, local governments, tribes, communities, other agencies, resource advisory groups, public lands permit holders, and non-governmental organizations.

MD CC 2: Develop a cooperative MOU between the BLM, Forest Service and State of Idaho to establish the State of Idaho as a cooperating agency during implementation of the final decision. The MOU will identify responsibilities, role and interaction of the BLM, Forest Service and State of Idaho.

2-34 Idaho and Southwestern Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Approved RMP Amendment September 2015