

Bureau of Land Management
Fiscal Year 2016 Rangeland
Inventory, Monitoring and
Evaluation Report

TABLE 1
Ecological Site Inventory

| STATE | Acres Inventoried This Fiscal Year using the Ecological Site Inventory (ESI) /a/ | Total Acres Inventoried to Date Using the Ecological Site Inventory (ESI) Method or Soil Vegetation Inventory Method (SVIM) /b/ |
|-------------------|--|---|
| ARIZONA | 0 | 6,634,429 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0 | 1,126,980 |
| COLORADO | 0 | 4,688,084 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 8,524,710 |
| MONTANA/DAKOTAS | 0 | 6,467,155 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 19,489,988 |
| NEW MEXICO | 112,840 | 9,631,727 |
| OREGON/WASHINGTON | 0 | 10,645,566 |
| UTAH | 22,989 | 13,600,361 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 10,017,060 |
| BLM TOTAL | 135,829 | 90,826,060 |

/a/ Acres reported here represent acres inventoried with ESI, and include acres which have been categorized as: 1) Potential Natural Community, 2) Late Seral, 3) Mid Seral, 4) Early Seral, and 5) Unclassified (because they could not be categorized to seral stage). Ecological Site Inventory data are collected using methods found in BLM Technical Reference 1734-7, Ecological Site Inventory, <http://www.blm.gov/nstc/library/1734-7direct.html>. Source of these data is BLM's Management Information System.

/b/ Acres reported here only include acres categorized as to seral stage (Potential Natural Community, Late Seral, Mid Seral, and Early Seral). Unclassified acres are now included in a category of inventory called "Uncategorized", in Table 2A. Source of these data is field office records.

TABLE 2

A. Rangeland Inventories

| STATE | Total Acres Available to be Inventoried /a/ | Ecological Site Inventory (ESI) /b/ | Seedings /c/ | Ephemeral /d/ | Annual Grassland /e/ | Annual Invasive/Exotic /f/ | Uncategorized /g/ |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| ARIZONA | 11,419,324 | 6,634,429 | 2,948 | 2,107,733 | 0 | 0 | 2,674,214 |
| CALIFORNIA | 6,523,947 | 1,126,980 | 7,359 | 960,167 | 221,302 | 278,363 | 3,929,776 |
| COLORADO | 7,798,530 | 4,688,084 | 51,677 | 0 | 0 | 60,642 | 2,998,127 |
| IDAHO | 11,381,338 | 8,524,710 | 1,455,562 | 0 | 0 | 349,216 | 1,051,850 |
| MONTANA/DAKOTAS | 8,177,255 | 6,467,155 | 113,842 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,596,258 |
| NEVADA | 43,329,260 | 19,489,988 | 794,429 | 351,490 | 0 | 0 | 22,693,353 |
| NEW MEXICO | 12,759,943 | 9,631,727 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 3,128,116 |
| OREGON/WASHINGTON | 13,684,309 | 10,645,566 | 902,403 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,136,340 |
| UTAH | 21,578,143 | 13,600,361 | 1,281,187 | 0 | 0 | 45,742 | 6,650,853 |
| WYOMING | 17,399,247 | 10,017,060 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,381,968 |
| BLM TOTAL | 154,051,296 | 90,826,060 | 4,609,626 | 3,419,390 | 221,302 | 734,063 | 54,240,855 |

/a/ These data are the BLM acres which lie within grazing allotments. Source of these data is BLM's Rangeland Administration System.

/b/ These data are the same as what is reported for "Total Acres Inventoried to Date Using the Ecological Site Inventory (ESI) Method or Soil Vegetation Inventory Method (SVIM)" in Table 1. Source of these data is field office records.

/c/ Acres reported here are for non-native or native seedings. Source of these data is field office records.

/d/ Ephemeral rangelands typically have very low carrying capacity, yet can produce short-lived, abundant forage in response to favorable climatic conditions. Ephemeral rangelands do not produce sufficient forage to allocate for livestock grazing on a sustained yield basis, yet may periodically produce forage suitable for livestock grazing for short periods of time. BLM can designate allotments or areas as ephemeral rangelands and manage them for ephemeral grazing use under the authority of the Ephemeral Range Special Rule applicable for the hot desert regions of Arizona, California, Nevada, and Utah. Source of these data is BLM's Rangeland Administration System.

/e/ Acres categorized as Annual Grassland are the Mediterranean annual rangelands in California, which differ from perennial rangelands because annual plants dominate the vegetation production on a sustained basis. Source of these data is field office records.

rangelands no longer have the capacity to proceed successional to a higher seral status with grazing management alone or without substantial range improvement investment. Source of these data is field office records.

/g/ Acres in Uncategorized include: 1) acres categorized as Unclassified in Ecological Site Inventory; and 2) acres yet to be inventoried and cannot be categorized into any of the categories in this table.

Table 2

B. Ecological Site Inventory Seral Status

| STATE | Total ESI or SVIM acres /a/ | Potential Natural Community /b/ | Late Seral /c/ | Mid Seral /d/ | Early Seral /e/ |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| ARIZONA | 6,634,429 | 531,665 | 2,856,814 | 2,554,388 | 691,562 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,126,980 | 33,956 | 202,960 | 504,059 | 386,005 |
| COLORADO | 4,688,084 | 421,735 | 1,390,902 | 1,719,174 | 1,156,273 |
| IDAHO | 8,524,710 | 199,635 | 2,085,674 | 3,512,958 | 2,726,443 |
| MONTANA/DAKOTAS | 6,467,155 | 570,692 | 4,253,657 | 1,557,573 | 85,233 |
| NEVADA | 19,489,988 | 914,400 | 7,230,231 | 8,807,721 | 2,537,636 |
| NEW MEXICO | 9,631,727 | 661,316 | 2,465,170 | 3,602,344 | 2,902,897 |
| OREGON/WASHINGTON | 10,645,566 | 257,427 | 3,688,953 | 5,711,744 | 987,442 |
| UTAH | 13,600,361 | 1,618,631 | 4,181,744 | 6,054,653 | 1,745,333 |
| WYOMING | 10,017,060 | 2,788,381 | 3,648,809 | 3,021,302 | 558,568 |
| BLM TOTAL | 90,826,060 | 7,997,838 | 32,004,914 | 37,045,916 | 13,777,392 |

/a/ These data are the same as what is reported for "Total Acres Inventoried to Date Using the Ecological Site Inventory (ESI) Method or Soil Vegetation Inventory Method (SVIM)" in Table 1. Source of these data is field office records.

/b/ Potential Natural Community represents plant species present on ecological sites which are between 76 and 100% similar to the potential natural community or the historic climax plant community for an ecological site. Source of these data is field office records.

/c/ Late Seral represents plant species present on ecological sites which are between 51 and 75% similar to the potential natural community or the historic climax plant community on an ecological site. Source of these data is field office records.

/d/ Mid Seral represents plant species present on ecological sites which are between 26 and 50% similar to the potential natural community or the historic climax plant community for an ecological site. Source of these data is field office records.

/e/ Early Seral represents plant species present on ecological sites which are between 0 and 25% similar to the potential natural community or the historic climax plant community on an ecological site. Source of these data is field office records.

PUBLIC LAND STATISTICS TABLE 2-1

PERCENT OF ACRES IN ECOLOGICAL STATUS
BY STATE - FISCAL YEAR 2016

| STATE | Percent Acres Inventoried | PERCENT BY ECOLOGICAL STATUS /a/ | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | Potential Natural Community | Late Seral | Mid Seral | Early Seral |
| ARIZONA | 58% | 8% | 43% | 39% | 10% |
| CALIFORNIA | 17% | 3% | 18% | 45% | 34% |
| COLORADO | 60% | 9% | 30% | 37% | 25% |
| IDAHO | 75% | 2% | 24% | 41% | 32% |
| MONTANA/DAKOTAS | 79% | 9% | 66% | 24% | 1% |
| NEVADA | 45% | 5% | 37% | 45% | 13% |
| NEW MEXICO | 75% | 7% | 26% | 37% | 30% |
| OREGON/WASHINGTON | 78% | 2% | 35% | 54% | 9% |
| UTAH | 63% | 12% | 31% | 45% | 13% |
| WYOMING | 58% | 28% | 36% | 30% | 6% |
| BLM TOTAL | 59% | 9% | 35% | 41% | 15% |

Community = 76-100% similar, Late Seral = 51-75% similar, Mid Seral = 26-50% similar, Early Seral = 0-25% similar. Ecological status is used to

TABLE 3

Cumulative Monitored Rangeland Trend /a/

| STATE | Total Federal /b/ | Up | Static | Down | Undetermined |
|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| ARIZONA | 11,419,324 | 1,736,101 | 3,678,914 | 408,047 | 5,596,262 |
| CALIFORNIA | 6,523,947 | 433,146 | 457,148 | 96,066 | 5,537,587 |
| COLORADO | 7,798,530 | 947,391 | 2,397,258 | 213,969 | 4,239,912 |
| IDAHO | 11,381,338 | 1,737,519 | 5,683,307 | 1,001,039 | 2,959,473 |
| MONTANA/DAKOTAS | 8,177,255 | 1,207,093 | 3,496,454 | 364,381 | 3,109,327 |
| NEVADA | 43,329,260 | 2,864,525 | 13,664,080 | 7,049,181 | 19,751,474 |
| NEW MEXICO | 12,759,943 | 1,909,950 | 3,882,116 | 434,259 | 6,533,618 |
| OREGON/WASHINGTON | 13,684,309 | 2,080,468 | 7,231,458 | 1,749,144 | 2,623,239 |
| UTAH | 21,578,143 | 6,087,470 | 11,207,691 | 3,024,538 | 1,258,444 |
| WYOMING | 17,399,247 | 3,010,547 | 6,506,399 | 1,800,780 | 6,081,521 |
| BLM TOTAL | 154,051,296 | 22,014,210 | 58,204,825 | 16,141,404 | 57,690,857 |

/a/ Monitored rangeland trend is the change over time in the kind, proportion, or amount of plant species on an area of rangeland. The figures represent acreage within grazing allotments. One of the main uses of trend information is the characterization of change in rangeland vegetation relative to desired plant community vegetation management objectives or other vegetation management objectives. Trend characterized as "Up" means that changes in plant species are moving toward achievement of vegetation management objectives. Trend characterized as "Static" means there is no discernible change toward or away from vegetation management objectives. Trend characterized as "Down" means that changes in plant species are moving away from achievement of vegetation management objectives. Trend characterized as "Undetermined" means that vegetation data could not be collected to determine trend (for example on rock outcrop areas) or vegetation data has not yet been collected to determine trend (for example areas that do not have trend studies established), or there is vegetation data that has been collected but has not been repeatedly collected over time yet to determine trend. Trend information varies in age based on when the vegetation data were collected. Up, static, and down trend represents what the trend was at the time the data/information were analyzed/evaluated. Source of these data is field office records.

/b/ These data are the BLM acres which lie within grazing allotments.

TABLE 4

Allotment Categorization /a/

| STATE | Total | | Category I | | Category M | | Category C | | Uncategorized | |
|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres |
| ARIZONA | 822 | 11,419,324 | 203 | 5,082,069 | 182 | 3,506,744 | 434 | 2,711,137 | 3 | 119,374 |
| CALIFORNI. | 663 | 6,523,947 | 161 | 3,835,247 | 176 | 2,087,314 | 323 | 599,414 | 3 | 1,972 |
| COLORADC | 2,328 | 7,798,530 | 647 | 5,725,157 | 427 | 1,228,608 | 1,251 | 844,245 | 3 | 520 |
| IDAHO | 2,152 | 11,381,338 | 781 | 7,993,811 | 616 | 2,875,945 | 749 | 504,365 | 6 | 7,217 |
| MONTANA/I | 5,286 | 8,177,255 | 734 | 2,804,371 | 1,761 | 4,350,814 | 2,787 | 993,583 | 4 | 28,487 |
| NEVADA | 789 | 43,329,260 | 265 | 28,380,136 | 263 | 9,015,669 | 228 | 4,854,089 | 33 | 1,079,366 |
| NEW MEXIC | 2,274 | 12,759,943 | 618 | 7,030,879 | 844 | 4,405,109 | 808 | 1,315,588 | 4 | 8,367 |
| OREGON/M | 2,027 | 13,684,309 | 466 | 8,527,311 | 408 | 4,289,864 | 1,149 | 765,987 | 4 | 101,147 |
| UTAH | 1,394 | 21,578,143 | 450 | 12,358,367 | 413 | 6,975,061 | 517 | 2,038,380 | 14 | 206,335 |
| WYOMING | 3,539 | 17,399,247 | 835 | 10,675,345 | 802 | 4,966,248 | 1,894 | 1,742,781 | 8 | 14,873 |
| BLM TOTAL | 21,274 | 154,051,296 | 5,160 | 92,412,693 | 5,892 | 43,701,376 | 10,140 | 16,369,569 | 82 | 1,567,658 |

/a/ Grazing allotments are categorized as I, M, or C, usually during resource management planning. Washington Office Instruction Memorandum 2009-18 directed a review of existing I, M, and C categorization in order to establish priorities for monitoring, evaluations, and grazing management actions. I allotments have the objective of "Improve the current resource condition". M allotments have the objective of "Maintain the current resource condition". C allotments have the objective of "Custodially manage the existing resource values". The intent of categorization is to concentrate funding and on-the-ground management efforts to those allotments where grazing management is most needed to improve resources or resolve resource conflicts. Priority for where grazing management is most needed to improve resources or resolve resource conflicts is I allotments, followed by M allotments, and then C allotments. The numbers of allotments in each category of I, M, and C can vary annually. Allotments can be moved from one category to another as new information becomes available, resource conditions change, or management activities are implemented (Source: BLM Manual 1622--Supplemental Program Guidance for Renewable Resources). Source of these data is BLM's Rangeland Administration System.

TABLE 5

Monitoring of Grazing Allotments

| STATE | Cumulative Number of Allotments in which Monitoring Studies have been Established /a/ | | Allotments in which Monitoring Data were Collected During the Reporting Year /b/ | | Allotments in which Monitoring Data were Evaluated During the Reporting Year /c/ | | Allotments in which Decisions were Issued During the Reporting Year /d/ | |
|------------|---|-------------|--|------------|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres |
| ARIZONA | 677 | 8,612,713 | 75 | 648,323 | 58 | 326,717 | 16 | 125,468 |
| CALIFORNIA | 287 | 4,241,799 | 89 | 2,405,018 | 58 | 620,402 | 11 | 30,543 |
| COLORADO | 1,027 | 5,741,120 | 181 | 2,457,381 | 61 | 501,825 | 93 | 331,893 |
| IDAHO | 1,004 | 10,002,736 | 436 | 5,991,626 | 9 | 47,895 | 8 | 45,054 |
| MONTANA | 2,702 | 6,493,262 | 292 | 1,406,293 | 406 | 1,164,759 | 267 | 286,716 |
| NEVADA | 692 | 43,343,722 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 1,420,828 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,593 | 11,394,122 | 104 | 762,827 | 89 | 404,223 | 51 | 344,560 |
| OREGON | 1,276 | 13,154,727 | 212 | 4,433,152 | 50 | 478,904 | 62 | 889,498 |
| UTAH | 1,321 | 20,694,647 | 309 | 8,535,908 | 44 | 1,166,151 | 46 | 1,157,754 |
| WYOMING | 1,937 | 15,299,810 | 496 | 7,170,706 | 206 | 2,840,669 | 70 | 388,029 |
| BLM TOTAL | 12,516 | 138,978,658 | 2,194 | 33,811,234 | 981 | 7,551,545 | 636 | 5,020,343 |

/a/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, in which at least one monitoring study has been established. Monitoring studies include actual use monitoring, utilization monitoring, trend monitoring, weather/climate monitoring, and supplementary monitoring (BLM Manual Handbook H-4400-1). Source of these data is field office records.

/b/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, in which monitoring data were collected during the reporting year. Monitoring data include actual use data, utilization data, trend data, weather/climate data, supplemental data, and use supervision data (BLM Manual Handbook H-4400-1). Source of these data is field office records.

/c/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, in which monitoring data were analyzed, interpreted, and evaluated to evaluate progress toward achieving resource management objectives, during the reporting year. Source of these data is field office records.

/d/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, in which grazing management decisions were issued during the reporting year. Source of these data is BLM's Rangeland Administration System.

TABLE 6

Allotment Management Plans (AMP) or Other Applicable Activity Plans Intended to Serve as the Functional Equivalent of Allotment Management Plans /a/

| STATE | Total /b/ | | With AMP or Equivalent /c/ | | Without AMP or Equivalent /d/ | |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres |
| ARIZONA | 822 | 11,419,324 | 266 | 5,178,437 | 556 | 6,240,887 |
| CALIFORNIA | 663 | 6,523,947 | 177 | 4,747,402 | 486 | 1,776,545 |
| COLORADO | 2,328 | 7,798,530 | 636 | 4,918,902 | 1,692 | 2,879,628 |
| IDAHO | 2,152 | 11,381,338 | 363 | 5,137,066 | 1,789 | 6,244,272 |
| MONTANA/DAKOTAS | 5,286 | 8,177,255 | 1,071 | 4,148,547 | 4,215 | 4,028,708 |
| NEVADA | 789 | 43,329,260 | 376 | 27,251,376 | 413 | 16,077,884 |
| NEW MEXICO | 2,274 | 12,759,943 | 330 | 4,286,405 | 1,944 | 8,473,538 |
| OREGON/WASHINGTON | 2,027 | 13,684,309 | 381 | 7,863,480 | 1,646 | 5,820,829 |
| UTAH | 1,394 | 21,578,143 | 507 | 10,493,970 | 887 | 11,084,173 |
| WYOMING | 3,539 | 17,399,247 | 500 | 7,748,643 | 3,039 | 9,650,604 |
| BLM TOTAL | 21,274 | 154,051,296 | 4,607 | 81,774,228 | 16,667 | 72,277,068 |

/a/ The development of an Allotment Management Plan or its equivalent for a grazing allotment is discretionary (43 Code of Federal Regulations §4120.2). Allotment Management Plans prescribe the manner in which, and the extent to which, livestock grazing is conducted and managed to achieve multiple use, sustained yield, economic, and other needs and objectives as determined through land use plans. Grazing allotments without Allotment Management Plans or their equivalent are still undergoing resource management by the BLM.

/b/ These data are the total number of allotments, and the BLM acreage existing within these allotments, for the BLM. Source of these data is BLM's Rangeland Administration System.

/c/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that have an AMP or other applicable activity plan intended to serve as the functional equivalent of an AMP. Source of these data is BLM's Rangeland Administration System.

/d/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that do not have an AMP or other applicable activity plan intended to serve as the functional equivalent of an AMP. Source of these data is BLM's Rangeland Administration System.

TABLE 7

Standards for Rangeland Health /a/**A. Current Year Accomplishments /b/**

| STATE | Category A. Rangelands meeting all standards or making significant progress toward meeting the standards /c/ | | Category B. Rangelands not meeting all standards or making significant progress toward meeting the standards, but appropriate action has been taken to ensure significant progress toward meeting the standards (livestock is a significant factor) /d/ | | Category C. Rangelands not meeting all standards or making significant progress toward meeting the standards, and no appropriate action has been taken to ensure significant progress toward meeting the standards (livestock is a significant factor) /e/ | | Category D. Rangelands not meeting all standards or making significant progress due to causes other than livestock grazing /f/ | | Category E. Total number of allotments that have been assessed /g/ | |
|-------------------|--|---------|---|---------|--|--------|--|---------|--|-----------|
| | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres |
| ARIZONA | 13 | 67,965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9,419 | 15 | 77,384 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10 | 10,455 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9,072 | 1 | 350 | 13 | 19,877 |
| COLORADO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 8 | 40,300 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 29,217 | 1 | 196 | 12 | 69,713 |
| MONTANA/DAKOTAS | 141 | 165,843 | 8 | 18,118 | 12 | 27,775 | 54 | 91,270 | 215 | 303,006 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 65 | 327,723 | 1 | 3,069 | 1 | 25,237 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 356,029 |
| OREGON/WASHINGTON | 18 | 120,414 | 3 | 134,255 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25,559 | 24 | 280,228 |
| UTAH | 20 | 161,642 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 161,642 |
| WYOMING | 4 | 14,823 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9,749 | 5 | 24,572 |
| BLM TOTAL | 279 | 909,165 | 12 | 155,442 | 18 | 91,301 | 62 | 136,543 | 371 | 1,292,451 |

/a/ Standards for Rangeland Health are ecologically-based goals that conform with the Fundamentals of Rangeland Health found in 43 Code of Federal Regulations Subpart 4180. Fundamentals of Rangeland Health are fundamental requirements for achieving functional healthy public lands. The Fundamentals, and the Standards for Rangeland Health that conform to the Fundamentals, address the necessary physical components of functional watersheds, ecological processes required for healthy biotic communities, water quality standards, and habitat for threatened and endangered species or other species of special interest.

/b/ Current Year Accomplishments are numbers of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are in various stages of achieving Standards for Rangeland Health within the current reporting year. Although Standards for Rangeland Health are now called Land Health Standards and apply to all BLM lands rather than just rangelands and just allotments, the evaluation of Standards for Rangeland Health began on BLM lands within grazing allotments and still primarily has been operationally focused on BLM lands within grazing allotments. Eventually, current year accomplishments will reflect achievements on any BLM lands rather than just BLM lands within allotments. Source of these data is field office records.

/c/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are either meeting all land health standards or are making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards. Source of these data is field office records.

/d/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are not meeting all land health standards, or are not making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards, and existing livestock grazing has been determined to be the cause of this non-achievement, and management action has been taken to change livestock grazing to ensure that significant progress toward meeting land health standards will occur. Source of these data is field office records.

/e/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are not meeting all land health standards, or are not making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards, and existing livestock grazing has been determined to be the cause of this non-achievement, and management action has not yet been taken to change livestock grazing to ensure that significant progress toward meeting land health standards will occur. Source of these data is field office records.

/f/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are not meeting all land health standards, or are not making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards, and existing livestock grazing is not the cause of the non-achievement. Source of these data is field office records.

/g/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, which were assessed for achievement of land health standards in the current reporting year. Source of these data is field office records.

TABLE 7

B. Cumulative Accomplishments /a/

| STATE | Category A. Rangelands meeting all standards or making significant progress toward meeting the standards /b/ | | Category B. Rangelands not meeting all standards or making significant progress toward meeting the standards, but appropriate action has been taken to ensure significant progress toward meeting the standards (livestock is a significant factor) /c/ | | Category C. Rangelands not meeting all standards or making significant progress toward meeting the standards, and no appropriate action has been taken to ensure significant progress toward meeting the standards (livestock is a significant factor) /d/ | | Category D. Rangelands not meeting all standards or making significant progress toward meeting the standards due to causes other than livestock grazing /e/ | | Category E. Total number of allotments that have been assessed /f/ | | Category F. Total number of allotments that have not been assessed /g/ | | Category G. Total number of allotments /h/ | |
|------------|--|------------|---|------------|--|-----------|---|------------|--|------------|--|------------|--|-------------|
| | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres | Allotments | Acres |
| ARIZONA | 525 | 5,737,769 | 9 | 271,121 | 5 | 244,621 | 8 | 91,596 | 547 | 6,345,107 | 275 | 5,074,217 | 822 | 11,419,324 |
| CALIFORNIA | 309 | 2,158,215 | 52 | 1,586,870 | 8 | 34,614 | 61 | 236,306 | 430 | 4,016,005 | 233 | 2,507,942 | 663 | 6,523,947 |
| COLORADO | 1,347 | 3,560,161 | 140 | 1,204,125 | 1 | 2,655 | 226 | 1,352,672 | 1,714 | 6,119,613 | 614 | 1,678,917 | 2,328 | 7,798,530 |
| IDAHO | 783 | 2,410,626 | 291 | 3,816,767 | 51 | 590,261 | 222 | 1,038,184 | 1,347 | 7,855,838 | 805 | 3,525,500 | 2,152 | 11,381,338 |
| MONTANA/D. | 4,208 | 6,192,109 | 490 | 1,042,761 | 23 | 22,591 | 231 | 413,147 | 4,952 | 7,670,608 | 334 | 506,647 | 5,286 | 8,177,255 |
| NEVADA | 95 | 3,546,069 | 87 | 9,916,154 | 13 | 746,452 | 93 | 4,130,844 | 288 | 18,339,519 | 501 | 24,989,741 | 789 | 43,329,260 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,457 | 7,131,438 | 19 | 109,451 | 5 | 38,110 | 12 | 49,203 | 1,493 | 7,328,202 | 781 | 5,431,741 | 2,274 | 12,759,943 |
| OREGON/WA | 780 | 6,077,823 | 197 | 2,155,793 | 31 | 73,407 | 148 | 979,795 | 1,156 | 9,286,818 | 871 | 4,397,491 | 2,027 | 13,684,309 |
| UTAH | 853 | 11,137,280 | 119 | 2,004,663 | 24 | 1,019,987 | 58 | 1,449,710 | 1,054 | 15,611,640 | 340 | 5,966,503 | 1,394 | 21,578,143 |
| WYOMING | 1,236 | 6,925,497 | 235 | 3,814,101 | 35 | 459,819 | 243 | 2,012,607 | 1,749 | 13,212,024 | 1,790 | 4,187,223 | 3,539 | 17,399,247 |
| BLM TOTAL | 11,593 | 54,876,987 | 1,639 | 25,921,806 | 196 | 3,232,517 | 1,302 | 11,754,064 | 14,730 | 95,785,374 | 6,544 | 58,265,922 | 21,274 | 154,051,296 |

/a/ Cumulative Accomplishments are numbers of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are in various stages of achieving Standards for Rangeland Health, over the entire time span that Standards for Rangeland Health have been assessed. Although Standards for Rangeland Health are now called Land Health Standards and apply to all BLM lands rather than just rangelands and just allotments, the evaluation of Standards for Rangeland Health began on BLM lands within grazing allotments and still primarily has been operationally focused on BLM lands within grazing allotments. Eventually, cumulative accomplishments will reflect achievements on any BLM lands rather than just BLM lands within allotments.

/b/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are either meeting all land health standards or are making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards. Source of these data is field office records.

/c/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are not meeting all land health standards, or are not making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards, and existing livestock grazing has been determined to be the cause of this non-achievement, and management action has been taken to change livestock grazing to ensure that significant progress toward meeting land health standards will occur. Source of these data is field office records.

/d/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are not meeting all land health standards, or are not making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards, and existing livestock grazing has been determined to be the cause of this non-achievement, and management action has not yet been taken to change livestock grazing to ensure that significant progress toward meeting land health standards will occur. Source of these data is field office records.

/e/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, that are not meeting all land health standards, or are not making significant progress toward meeting all land health standards, and existing livestock grazing is not the cause of the non-achievement. Source of these data is field office records.

/f/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, which have been assessed for achievement of land health standards over the entire time span that land health standards have been assessed (1998 to present). Source of these data is field office records.

/g/ The number of allotments, and their BLM acreage, which have not yet been assessed for achievement of land health standards. Source of these data is field office records.

/h/ The total number of allotments, and the BLM acreage existing within these allotments, for the BLM. Source of these data is field office records.