Bureau of Land Management FY 2012 Land and Water Conservation Fund Supplemental Ranking Criteria Questionnaire

Note: At the beginning of each section include the name, title, office and telephone number of the person providing the information for that particular section. When responding to the questions, answer "yes" or "no", select from the multiple choices, select all that apply, fill in the blank, provide names and descriptions, or provide a brief narrative response.

<u>Access</u>

1a. Name of Employee Completing this Section:	
1b. Title:	
1c. Office (example: Missoula Field Office):	
1d. Telephone:	
2a. What is the <u>end-outcome goal</u> of acquiring this public access easement (Select all that apply)	t?
 Boating/Motorized Boating/Non-Motorized Camping & Picnicking	
O Driving for PleasureO FishingO Hunting	
Interpretation, Education & Nature StudyNon-Motorized TravelOff-Highway Vehicle Travel	
 Rock Climbing Snowmobile & Other Motorized Travel Specialized Motor Sports, Events & Activities 	
 Specialized Non-Motor Sports, Events & Activities Swimming & Other Water Based Activities Winter/Non-Motorize Activities Unspecified (List) 	
2b. Would acquisition of this one access easement complete the goal of providing access to the recreating public? O Yes O No	

 2c. If you answered "No" to Question 2b above, how many additional access easements would need to be acquired to fully secure use of this public access corridor? 1 2 3 4 5+
2d. Are any of the landowners referenced in Question 2c above identified as willing sellers of access easements?YesNo
 2e. Does this funding request propose acquiring a conservation easement and/or fee interest in property in addition to a public access corridor? Yes No
2f. If you answered "Yes" to Question 2e, why is it in the best interest of BLM to acquire these additional property rights? (Select all that apply) O Protects other resource values (List up to five) 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) O Desire of the landowner to sell "all or nothing" O What a third party partner has negotiated with the landowner
O Other
2g. Has BLM considered acquiring these rights (or this property) via land exchange? Please explain why acquisition of these rights (or this property) is now being pursued through purchase and not land exchange. O Yes O No
2h. Would acquisition of this interest in land (access easement) or land (fee) contribute to BLM's mission of securing a public access corridor identified in an approved land use and/or transportation plan? The acquisition action you are proposing must be specifically referenced in this plan. O Yes O No

2i. If you answered "Yes" to Question 2h above, please p approved planning document(s) that supports the proposidate the planning document was approved (mm/dd/yyyy)	
Plan Name	Date
2j. What would be the use of this public access corridor? Non-Motorized Walk-In Equestrian On-Trail Cross Country Motorized On-Road Off-Road	(Select all that apply)
2k. Is the primary objective of securing this public access movement of the recreating public between "Point A" and O Yes O No	
2I. Is this public access route within an existing State high corridor?YesNo	nway or County road
2m. Is this public access route within a RS2477 "assertedYesNo	l" corridor?
2n. How many acres of BLM-managed public land would easement open (for the first time) for public recreational to 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000 25,000-50,000 50,000+	•
 2o. Would any of these "newly opened" acres be within a special designated units? Include the name(s) of each de (Select all that apply) Area of Critical Environmental Concern Biosphere Reserve Cooperative Management and Protection Area National Back Country Byway National Conservation Area 	esignated unit.

	National Forest
	National Grassland
	National Historic Landmark
	National Historic Trail
	National Monument
	National Natural Landmark
	National Park
	National Recreation Area
	National Recreation Trail
	National Register of Historic Places
	National Scenic Byway
	National Scenic and Historic Byway
	National Scenic Trail
	National Wild and Scenic River
	National Wildlife Refuge
	Outstanding Natural Area
	Research Natural Area
	Reservation and/or Tribal Lands
	Special Recreation Management Area
	Watchable Wildlife Viewing Area
	Wilderness
	Wilderness Study Area
	World Haritaga Sita
ч	World Heritage Site
	World Heritage Site Other (including State/Local)
	Other (including State/Local)
□ 2p. W	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access
□ 2p. W corride	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why?
2p. W corrido O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes
2p. W corrido O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why?
2p. W corrido O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No
2p. W corrido O O 2q. Ac	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No ecording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users
2p. W corrido O O 2q. Ac would	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually?
2p. W corrido O O 2q. Ac would O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000
2p. W corrido O O 2q. Ac would O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No cording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000
2p. W corrido O O 2q. Ac would O O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000
2p. W corrido O O 2q. Ac would O O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000 25,000-50,000
2p. W corrido O O 2q. Ac would O O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000
2p. W corrido O 2q. Ac would O O O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000 25,000-50,000 50,000-100,000+
2p. W corrido O 2q. Ac would O O O 2r. Ba	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000 25,000-50,000 50,000-100,000+ sed on the activities listed in 2a, the majority of recreational use served by
2p. W corrido O O C O O O O O O O C O O O O C O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes
2p. W corrido O 2q. Ac would O O 2r. Ba the pro O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes
2p. W corrido O 2q. Ac would O O 2r. Ba the pro O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000 25,000-50,000 50,000-100,000+ sed on the activities listed in 2a, the majority of recreational use served by oposed public access corridor would occur over a period: Less than 3 months annually More than 3 months but less than 6 months annually
2p. W corrido O 2q. Ac would O O 2r. Ba the pro O O O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000 25,000-50,000 50,000-100,000+ sed on the activities listed in 2a, the majority of recreational use served by oposed public access corridor would occur over a period: Less than 3 months annually More than 3 months but less than 6 months annually More than 6 months but less than 9 months annually
2p. W corrido O 2q. Ac would O O 2r. Ba the pro O O O	Other (including State/Local) ould the anticipated public access benefits extend beyond a public access or? If "Yes", why? Yes No coording to existing BLM documentation, how many recreational users utilize this public access corridor annually? 0-1,000 1,000-5,000 5,000-25,000 25,000-50,000 50,000-100,000+ sed on the activities listed in 2a, the majority of recreational use served by oposed public access corridor would occur over a period: Less than 3 months annually More than 3 months but less than 6 months annually

2s. Do alternative public access routes (when not provide motorized access) currently seYesNo		nvenient or may	
2t. Would acquisition of the proposed publifollowing resource agencies? (Select all the Bureau of Indian Affairs Bureau of Reclamation Department of Defense National Park Service U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Forest Service State/County Agency Conservation Partner Private Property Owner		enefit any of the	
 2u. If you responded to Question 2t, would any of these agencies contribute to the cost of acquiring the access rights and/or maintaining the access easement? Yes No 			
2v. If no road/trail currently exists (or it the will construction (or reconstruction) of a roaccess?YesNo		• , , ,	
2w. Is construction of a road/trail to facilitate use and/or transportation plan?YesNo	te public access ide	entified in the land	
2x. If construction of a road/trail to facilitate public access is planned, who will pay for construction and maintenance? (Select all that apply)			
 □ Bureau of Indian Affairs □ Bureau of Reclamation □ Department of Defense □ National Park Service □ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers □ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service □ U.S. Forest Service □ State/County Agency 	Construction O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Maintenance O O O O O O O O O O O O	

Conservation Partner	•	O
Private Property Owner	•	O
☐ Other	•	•
2y. Provide the name of the third party involved in landowner negotiations to a		
2z. Provide the name(s) of up to five thi and resource-specific) supporting acqu 1) 2) 3)		`
4) 5)		
2a1. Provide the name of the BLM 1:10	00K map this area is loca	ted on:

Wildlife/Fisheries

3a. Name of Employee Completing this Section:	
3b. Title:	
3c. Office (example: Missoula Field Office):	
3d. Telephone:	
 4a. Would acquisition of the property preserve a wildlife or fisheries corridor documented by BLM and/or the respective State wildlife management agency? Yes/Wildlife Only Yes/Fisheries Only Yes/Both Wildlife and Fisheries No 	
4b. Would this one acquisition fully secure the "utility" of this corridor?YesNo	
 4c. If you answered "No" to Question 4b above, how many additional properties would need to be acquired to fully secure the utility of this corridor? 1 2 3 4 5+ 	;
4d. If you anwererd "Yes" to Question 4a above, how many different species would benefit from preservation of this corridor? O 1 O 2 O 3 O 4 O 5+	

_	nation which best describes the species from a permitted game species (Yes/No)?	this pick-list.	Please	include if
	Legal Designations			
	Threatened			
	Endangered			
С	Candidate or Proposed			
	(candidate includes warranted but precluded	l species)		
	BLM Sensitive			
	State Listed			
F	Non-special status species			
	(i.e. big game, waterfowl/shoebird/migratory			
		Legal		Game
		Designation		(Y/N)
	oecies #1			
	pecies #2			
	pecies #3			
Sp	pecies #4			
Sp	pecies #5			
(Sele	nat is the most "imminent threat" to preserving ect one) Agricultural conversion Mining/extractive/logging Residential/commercial/industrial developmental of the control o	ent	·	
follow (Selection of the control of	reservation of this corridor would provide "coning landowners/water managers? Provide all ct all that apply). Bureau of Land Management Bureau of Indian Affairs Bureau of Reclamation Department of Defense National Park Service U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Forest Service State/County Protected Lands ark/Wildlife Management Area) Private Property acludes owned and/or under conservation eas	applicable u	nit desi	gnations.
citity,	1			

4e. Please list the five highest priority species (use common name) associated with preservation of this wildlife/fisheres corridor. Please include the legal

and m (struct	ould preservation of this corridor result in short and/or long term operational preservation of this corridor result in short and/or long term operational preservations. Sture removal, fencing, etc.) Yes No	ons	
4i. Briefly explain what these O&M costs would be for?			
agend	ould these costs potentially be shared with other resource cies/supporters? Yes No		
(Selection	/ho would those resource agencies/supporters be? ct all that apply) Bureau of Indian Affairs Bureau of Reclamation Department of Defense National Park Service U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Forest Service State/County Agency Conservation Partner Private Property Owner		