WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 19

FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD:

this area	ere existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of a control of the control of
	Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the umbers of those units):
a) Inven	tory Source: Wilderness Inventory - OR/WA Final Intensive
Invento	ry Decisions (November 1980), Pages 148-149
	tory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): <u>Devils Canyon Subunit 2-69</u>
b) Inven	
b) Inven	tory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): <u>Devils Canyon Subunit 2-69</u>

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 148-149

Name	(historie acres)	Condition? Y/N	Solitude? Y/N	Primitive & Unconfined Recreation?	Values? Y/N
2-69	14,015	Y	N	N	Y

FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

Unit Number/Name: West W	arm Sp	rings Un	<u>it 19</u>	
(1) Is the unit of sufficient si	ze?			
Current Unit Acres: 14,221	Yes _	X	No	

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 19 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 2-69 except for two non-federal inholdings that were in 2-69 which are now BLM land, increasing the number of acres in Unit 19.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N/E: Road 7256-0-00 (ONDA Photo Pts. CY-35 SE, CZ-5 WNW) there is very little vegetation in the center of this road and it is still in a useable condition and does receive relatively regular use.

E: Road 7256-0-1H (BLM Route Analysis #42, Photo Pts. A34 & A35) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-69 SE, CZ-4 SSW)

S/W: BLM Road (BLM Route Analysis #17, Photo Pts. A14 & A15) (ONDA Photo Pt. CZ-11 E)

W: Road 7256-0-E0 (BLM Route Analysis #30, Photo Pts. A20 & A21) (ONDA Photo Pt. CY-33 SSE)

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

(2) Is	the	unit in a	natural	condition?	
Yes _	X		No_	N/A	

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately 23 miles southeast of the community of Wagontire. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as being dominated by a flat-top terrace. Along the west side of the unit, a ridge bounds the terrace before dropping into a lower flatland to the west. The top of the terrace contains small, wide, shallow drainages, with the exception of the Devils Canyon, which is a narrow drainage fringed with rimrock. The vegetation is sagebrush and grass. Though several developments were identified, the unit was found to be in a natural condition.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the 1977 inventory effort and landscape related photos provided by ONDA. Brush beating has occurred along some of the boundary roads within the last three years. This consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either side of the road to assist with providing a fire break. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The unit currently has the following developments (See Unit Character Map):

- 7.4 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 8 miles of fencing. The fence running east/west in the middle of the unit also has an interior route along it.
- 1 reservoir
- 1 Wildlife Guzzlers (while the original inventory listed two wildlife guzzlers, staff could only confirm the existence of one)

The ID-team found that many of these developments and treatments were

along the outer edges of the unit or dispersed enough that the imprint of humans is still substantially unnoticeable and that the unit is in a natural condition. This finding is generally consistent with ONDA's proposal fo their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole.
(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?
Yes NoX N/A
1980 Unit Description: The broad open expanses of this unit with no vegetative screening do not provide a situation where a visitor could avoid the sights and sounds of others. Devils Canyon area is not sufficient size to provide outstanding solitude on its own.
Current Condition Description: The general description above is still accurate. ONDA's report indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA with endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, and junipostands (not present in this unit) make the opportunities for solitude outstanding.
BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. Given the lack of topographic and vegetative screening and the long narrow shape of this unit, the ID-team found this unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.
(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?
Yes No <u>X</u> N/A
1980 Unit Description: Opportunities for hunting, horseback riding, and hiking are present in this area; however, they are not outstanding.
Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation

identified wildlife viewing, backpacking, camping, rock hounding, and photography. ONDA indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA cannot help but provide for a diverse and exceptional recreational experience and that the endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, juniper stands and multiple water features, and geologic structures present add to the interest of their unit. They also indicated they observed several wildlife species including birds of prey, pronghorn antelope, coyotes, badgers, and numerous birds.

The BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. While the recreation opportunities identified by both BLM and ONDA may be present, no unique features of interest were identified for this particular BLM unit and the diversity and quality of the recreational opportunities for this particular unit are not unlike that which can be found on much of the public lands in eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. None of the game species generally hunted in the area, including and around this unit, are considered by the State as being unique or rare, thus these opportunities are not outstanding.

After reviewing the information submitted, the ID-team found the recreation opportunities present are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in these recreation activities being outstanding within the unit. Below is additional supporting BLM information related to recreation resources for this unit.

Both the 2003 Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (RMP/ROD) and the 1992 Three Rivers RMP/ROD show that this unit is open to off-road use by motorized vehicles; however, currently most motorized vehicle use appears to be occurring along existing roads for this unit.

Recreation opportunities within the entire Lakeview Resource Area have also been addressed through the designation of extensive and special recreation management areas in the Lakeview RMP/ROD. An extensive recreation management area (ERMA) is defined in the Lakeview RMP/ROD (page 113) as an area "where significant recreation opportunities and problems are limited and explicit recreation management is not required." This inventory unit was included in an ERMA designation along with much of the rest of the lands within the Lakeview District (RMP/ROD, page 84 and Map R-9), further indicating that the existing or potential recreation opportunities available in this area are not outstanding when compared with the rest of the public lands in the Lakeview Resource Area. BLM lands in this unit also fall within the Three Rivers ERMA (Three Rivers RMP/ROD, page 2-108-109, Map R-1).

During the development of the Lakeview RMP/ROD, the BLM classified all of the public lands within the planning area into one of six recreation opportunity spectrum (ROS) classes (primitive, semiprimitive

nonmotorized, semiprimitve motorized, roaded natural, rural, and urban). Class definitions and criteria (remoteness, size, evidence of human use, social setting, and managerial setting) used in developing the ROS classification for lands in the Lakeview Resource Area are available in the in Appendix M2 (Pages A-287-288 and A-291) of the 2001 Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

Map R-3 of the Lakeview RMP/ROD shows that this unit falls within the ROS class of semi-primitive motorized. This classification was identified in recognition of the fact that motorized recreational use is allowed and expected. In contrast, those areas identified as falling within a semi-primitive non-motorized class are areas that have a high potential for "outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined types of recreation . . . where the use of the area is through non-motorized, non-mechanical means." BLM lands in this unit for the Three Rivers Resource Area have not been classified under the ROS system, but have similar characteristics as those in the Lakeview Resource Area.

(5) Does the c	int have supplement	ai vaiues.			
Yes	No	_ N	/AX		
Sum	mary of Findings and	d Conclus	<u>ion</u>		
Unit Name and Number:	West Warm Springs	Unit 19			
Summary Results of An	alysis:				
1. Does the area mee	t any of the size require	ements? _	X_Yes	No	
2. Does the area appe	ear to be natural?	_>	Yes _	No	
3. Does the area offer unconfined type of red	outstanding opportuncteation?	ities for so			ive and NA
4. Does the area have	supplemental values?	_	_Yes	_No _	X NA
Conclusion (Check One	<u>):</u>				
The area-or a	portion of the area-has	wilderness	s characte	er.	
X_The area does	not have wilderness c	haracter.			
Prepared by: Laura Dov	wlan, Wilderness Spe	cialist			

(5) Does the unit have sunnlemental values?

Team Members:

Lame Dowlan	9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) - Burns District	Date
But Pa	8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner - Burns District	Date
Mik Miller, Wildfife Biologist – Burns District	8/18/08
Alex Mildir, Wilding Biologist – Burns District	Date
K+ 140	8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District	Date '
Ronde L. Purch Ronda Purdy, Range Technigian – Burns District	8-13-2008 Date
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District	P/22 10P Date
Willie Stud	8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District	Date
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District	8-11-08 Date
from M Clean	9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District	' Date'
Paul Whitman	9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District	9/12/08
Jayna Ferrey, Range Management Specialist - Lakeview District	'bate'
I halel Feles	1bate'
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District	Date

Approved by:

Joan my. Auth	8/31/08
Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District	Date
Shu Ellanna	9/14/08
Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager - Lakeview District	Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

